

Exam Project Statistical Reasoning

Date: Wednesday, April 3, 2024

Time: 18.15-20.15h

Place: Martiniplaza

Progress code: WBMA038-05

Rules to follow:

- This is a two hours long closed book exam. Do not forget to write your name and student number onto each paper sheet and onto the envelope.
- There are 5 exercises and the number of points per exercise are indicated within boxes. You can reach 90 points.
- If you have to compute something, then include the relevant equations.
- **We wish you success with the completion of the exam!**

START OF FINAL EXAM

1. Marginal Likelihood. 15

Consider a random variable Y whose distribution has density

$$p(y|\theta) = \frac{\theta^y \cdot e^{-\theta}}{y!} \quad (\theta > 0 \text{ and } y = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

and assume that θ has a distribution with density

$$p(\theta) = \lambda \cdot e^{-\lambda\theta} \quad (\lambda > 0 \text{ and } \theta > 0)$$

EXERCISE: Show that the marginal distribution of Y has density:

$$p(y) = \left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda} \right)^y \cdot \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \quad (y = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

HINT: A Gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ has density

$$p(x|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot x^{\alpha-1} \cdot e^{-\beta \cdot x} \quad (x > 0)$$

2. Full conditional distributions. 20

Consider a random sample Y_1, \dots, Y_n from a Gaussian distribution with mean $\mu = 0$ and unknown variance $\sigma^2 > 0$. We impose an inverse Gamma distribution with parameters $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ on σ^2 , where a is known and b is unknown. A Gamma hyperprior with known parameters $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ is imposed on b . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \sigma^2 &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \\ \sigma^{-2} | b &\sim \text{GAM}(a, b) \\ b &\sim \text{GAM}(\alpha, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

where a , α and β are fixed and known.

- (a) 10 Compute the full conditional distribution of σ^{-2} .
- (b) 10 Compute the full conditional distribution of b .

HINT: The density of the Gamma and multivariate Gaussian distribution are provided in the hints of Exercises 1 and 5.

3. Predictive Distribution of Multinomial-Dirichlet Model. 25

Let the random vector $(N_1, \dots, N_K)^T$ be multinomial distributed with parameters $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that the density is

$$p(n_1, \dots, n_K | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) = \frac{n!}{n_1! \cdot \dots \cdot n_K!} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{n_k}$$

where $\theta_k > 0$ for all k , $\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_K = 1$, $n_k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ for all k and $n_1 + \dots + n_K = n$. Assume that $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)^T$ is Dirichlet distributed with density:

$$p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{\alpha_k - 1}$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K > 0$ are fixed hyperparameters.

EXERCISES:

- (a) 10 Compute the posterior distribution of $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)$.
- (b) 15 Show that for $n = 1$ the predictive probability for a new realisation

$$(\tilde{n}_1, \dots, \tilde{n}_{j-1}, \tilde{n}_j, \tilde{n}_{j+1}, \dots, \tilde{n}_K) = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

is:

$$p(\tilde{n}_1, \dots, \tilde{n}_K | n_1, \dots, n_K) = \frac{\alpha_j + n_j}{\alpha + n}$$

where $\alpha := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_K$.

4. Uniform distribution with Pareto prior 15

Consider the following sampling model. The random variables Y_1, \dots, Y_n are i.i.d. and continuous uniformly distributed on the interval $[0, b]$, symbolically:

$$Y_1, \dots, Y_n | b \sim \text{UNI}[0, b]$$

where the upper bound $b > 0$ is unknown. Impose a Pareto prior with parameters $k > 0$ and $m > 0$ on b :

$$b \sim \text{Pareto}(k, m)$$

(a) 10 Compute the posterior distribution of b .

(b) 5 Give an interpretation of k and m in terms of pseudo observations.

HINT: The densities of the uniform distribution on $[0, b]$ and the Pareto distribution with parameters $k > 0$ and $m > 0$ are

$$p(x|b) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b}, & x \in [0, b] \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
$$p(x|k, m) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{m}{x}\right)^k, & m \leq x \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

5. Bayesian Linear Regression 15

Consider a regression problem where Y is the response variable that depends on covariates X_1, \dots, X_k . Let $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the response vector and let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n, k+1}$ denote the design matrix, where the first column is a column of 1's for the intercept. We have the likelihood:

$$\mathbf{Y} | \boldsymbol{\beta} \sim \mathcal{N}_p(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ is the regression coefficient vector, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n, n}$ is a known positive definite covariance matrix, and $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}$ has been observed. On $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ we impose a Gaussian prior with:

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} \sim \mathcal{N}_{k+1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1, k+1}$ is a known positive definite covariance matrix.

EXERCISE:

Compute the power posterior distribution of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ given any temperature $\tau \in [0, 1]$.

HINT:

A Gaussian distribution with mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ has density:

$$p(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \cdot \det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{-1/2} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right\} \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

Recall that a random vector whose density fulfills: $p(\mathbf{x}) \propto \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{a}\}$ must have a $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{B}^{-1})$ distribution.

END OF EXAM

Sample Solutions for Exercise 1

For the density of the marginal distribution we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p(y) &= \int p(y, \theta) d\theta = \int p(y|\theta)p(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \int \frac{\theta^y \cdot e^{-\theta}}{y!} \cdot \lambda \cdot e^{-\lambda\theta} d\theta = \frac{\lambda}{y!} \cdot \int \theta^y \cdot e^{-(1+\lambda)\theta} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{y!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(y+1)}{(1+\lambda)^{y+1}} \cdot \int \frac{(1+\lambda)^{y+1}}{\Gamma(y+1)} \cdot \theta^y \cdot e^{-(1+\lambda)\theta} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{y!} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(y+1)}{(1+\lambda)^{y+1}} \cdot 1 = \frac{\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^{y+1}} = \left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda}\right)^y \cdot \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Just a remark: This is the density of a geometric distribution with parameter $p := \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda}$.

Sample Solutions for Exercise 2

(a) Full conditional of σ^{-2} :

$$\begin{aligned} p(\sigma^{-2}|y_1, \dots, y_n, b) &\propto p(y_1, \dots, y_n|\sigma^{-2}) \cdot p(\sigma^{-2}|b) \\ &\propto \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{y_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right\} \right) \cdot \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \cdot (\sigma^{-2})^{a-1} \cdot \exp\{-\sigma^{-2} \cdot b\} \\ &\propto \frac{1}{\sigma^n} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i^2}{\sigma^2}\right\} \cdot (\sigma^{-2})^{a-1} \cdot \exp\{-\sigma^{-2} \cdot b\} \\ &\propto (\sigma^{-2})^{\frac{n}{2}} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2\right\} \cdot (\sigma^{-2})^{a-1} \cdot \exp\{-\sigma^{-2} \cdot b\} \\ &\propto (\sigma^{-2})^{\frac{n}{2}+a-1} \exp\left\{-\sigma^{-2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 + b\right)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

From the shape it follows that the full conditional distribution of σ^{-2} must be a Gamma distribution with parameters $\tilde{a} := \frac{n}{2} + a$ and $\tilde{b} := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 + b$.

(b) Full conditional of b :

$$\begin{aligned} p(b|y_1, \dots, y_n, \sigma^{-2}) &\propto p(\sigma^{-2}|b) \cdot p(b) \\ &\propto \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \cdot (\sigma^{-2})^{a-1} \cdot \exp\{-\sigma^{-2} \cdot b\} \cdot \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot b^{\alpha-1} \cdot \exp\{-b \cdot \beta\} \\ &\propto b^a \cdot \exp\{-\sigma^{-2} \cdot b\} \cdot b^{\alpha-1} \cdot \exp\{-b \cdot \beta\} \\ &\propto b^{a+\alpha-1} \cdot \exp\{-b(\sigma^{-2} + \beta)\} \end{aligned}$$

From the shape it follows that of the full conditional distribution of b must be a Gamma distribution with parameters $\tilde{\alpha} := \alpha + a$ and $\tilde{\beta} := \beta + \sigma^{-2}$.

Sample Solutions for Exercise 3

(a) For the posterior density we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K | n_1, \dots, n_K) &\propto p(n_1, \dots, n_K | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) \cdot p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) \\ &\propto \frac{n!}{n_1! \cdot \dots \cdot n_K!} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{n_k} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{\alpha_k - 1} \propto \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{n_k + \alpha_k - 1} \end{aligned}$$

The density of the posterior is proportional to the density of a Dirichlet distribution with parameters $\alpha_1 + n_1, \dots, \alpha_K + n_K$. We conclude

$$(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) | (N_1 = n_1, \dots, N_K = n_K) \sim \text{DIR}(\alpha_1 + n_1, \dots, \alpha_K + n_K)$$

(b) For the new observation the density is:

$$p(\tilde{n}_1, \dots, \tilde{n}_K | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) = \frac{1!}{0! \cdot \dots \cdot 0! \cdot 1! \cdot 0! \cdot \dots \cdot 0!} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{\tilde{n}_k} = \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{\tilde{n}_k}$$

The density of the predictive distribution is:

$$p(\tilde{n}_1, \dots, \tilde{n}_K | n_1, \dots, n_K) = \int p(\tilde{n}_1, \dots, \tilde{n}_K | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) \cdot p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K | n_1, \dots, n_K) d\vec{\theta}$$

where $\vec{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)^T$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \left(\prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{\tilde{n}_k} \right) \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K (\alpha_k + n_k))}{\prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k + n_k)} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{\alpha_k + n_k - 1} d\vec{\theta} = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K (\alpha_k + n_k))}{\prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k + n_k)} \cdot \int \left(\prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{\tilde{n}_k} \right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{\alpha_k + n_k - 1} d\vec{\theta} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K (\alpha_k + n_k))}{\prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k + n_k)} \cdot \int \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{\alpha_k + n_k + \tilde{n}_k - 1} d\vec{\theta} = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K (\alpha_k + n_k))}{\prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k + n_k)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k + n_k + \tilde{n}_k)}{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K (\alpha_k + n_k + \tilde{n}_k))} \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{n}_k = 0$ for all $k \neq j$ and $\tilde{n}_j = 1$:

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K (\alpha_k + n_k))}{\Gamma(\alpha_j + n_j)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_j + n_j + 1)}{\Gamma(1 + \sum_{k=1}^K (\alpha_k + n_k))} = \frac{\alpha_j + n_j}{\sum_{k=1}^K (\alpha_k + n_k)}$$

With $\alpha := \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k$, and $n = \sum_{k=1}^K n_k$ we have:

$$p(\tilde{n}_1, \dots, \tilde{n}_K | n_1, \dots, n_K) = \frac{\alpha_j + n_j}{\alpha + n}$$

Sample Solutions for Exercise 4

(a) Compute the joint density

$$\begin{aligned} p(y_1, \dots, y_n | b) &= \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | b) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^n, & y_1 \leq b, \dots, y_n \leq b \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^n, & \max\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \leq b \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We have for the posterior density

$$\begin{aligned} p(b | y_1, \dots, y_n) &\propto p(y_1, \dots, y_n | b) \cdot p(b) \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^n \cdot \left(\frac{m}{b}\right)^k, & \max\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \leq b \text{ and } m \leq b \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \\ &\propto \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^{n+k}, & \max\{y_1, \dots, y_n, m\} \leq b \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The posterior density is proportional to the density of a Pareto distribution with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a} &= n + k \\ \tilde{b} &= \max\{y_1, \dots, y_n, m\} \end{aligned}$$

(b) Interpretation: There are k additional pseudo observations, and the maximum of the k pseudo observations is equal to m .

Sample Solutions for Exercise 5

As a function of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{y} | \boldsymbol{\beta})^\tau &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right\}^\tau \\ &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\tau}{2}(\mathbf{y}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right\} \\ &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{\tau}{2}(-2\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right\} \\ &\propto \exp\left\{\tau \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \mathbf{y} - \frac{\tau}{2} \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

And for the prior of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right\} \\ &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu})\right\} \\ &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta} - 2\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu})\right\} \\ &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

For the power posterior of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ we have for the density:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\tau(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mathbf{y}) &\propto p(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\beta})^\tau \cdot p(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\
&\propto \exp\{\tau\boldsymbol{\beta}^T\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1}\mathbf{y} - \frac{\tau}{2}\boldsymbol{\beta}^T\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\} \cdot \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\beta}^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}\} \\
&\propto \exp\{\tau\boldsymbol{\beta}^T\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1}\mathbf{y} - \frac{\tau}{2}\boldsymbol{\beta}^T\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\beta}^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}\} \\
&\propto \exp\{\boldsymbol{\beta}^T[\mathbf{X}^T\tau\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1}\mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}] - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\beta}^T[\mathbf{X}^T\tau\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1}]\boldsymbol{\beta}\}
\end{aligned}$$

From the shape it follows for the posterior distribution of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$:

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}|\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}([\mathbf{X}^T\tau\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1}]^{-1}[\mathbf{X}^T\tau\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1}\mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}], [\mathbf{X}^T\tau\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1}\mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1}]^{-1})$$